

AWP Project Awards

Small Projects < \$100 Million USD

Large Projects > \$100 Million USD

PURPOSE:

- Recognize and promote positive behavior amongst AWP applicators
- Encourage organizations to share their AWP experiences
- Provide meaningful recognition to the project teams and notable or honorable mention teams
- Broaden the outreach of AWP
- Provide a recognition for EPC providers and a way to promote AWP expertise to clients
- Help the industry target high value outcomes from AWP
- Encourage project Stakeholders to collaborate
- Establish standard format for project AWP benefits quantification

METHOD:

1. **AWP Scorecard:** Projects self-assess utilizing the existing CII scorecard. As the Awards program evolves and applications increase in volume, 3rd-party assessments can and should be used.
2. **Stakeholder Statements:** The project stakeholders in the owner / EPC and contractor categories are encouraged to provide information on how they implemented AWP on their portion of the project and the impact it had on improving project outcomes. We realize that it may be difficult to get the comments of all team members, as they may have moved on to other projects.
3. **Results:** Projects offer evidence of AWP advantages: improvement in safety, commodity installation rates, productivity, time on tools, quality, turnover, etc.
4. **Lessons Learned:** Projects offer their lessons learned and what they will do different next time.

SCORING CRITERIA:

SECTION A – CII AWP Scorecard:

- is measured as a % of achievable
- Overall weighting is 20%

SECTION B – Stakeholder Statements:

- AWP judges (3 or more) score each Stakeholder section on a scale of 1-10, averaged across all judges, scored as a % of attainable.
- Overall weighting is 30%

SECTION C – Results:

- Has the project provided evidence of results?
- AWP Judges rate the answer on a scale of 1-10 averaged across all judges, scored as a % of attainable. (evidence is not shared with the public)
- Overall weighting is 30%

SECTION D - Lessons Learned:

- Has the project offered lessons learned that can be shared with the membership?
- AWP Judges rate the answer on a scale of 1-10, score averaged across all judges and offered as a %.
- Overall weighting is 20%

Note: the judging panel includes practitioners within the AWP community. Controls are in place to prevent a judge from considering an application for a project they were involved in.